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Abstract. — If the space \( Q \) of quadratic forms in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is splitted in a direct sum \( Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k \) and if \( X \) and \( Y \) are independent random variables of \( \mathbb{R}^n \), assume that there exist a real number \( a \) such that \( E(X|X+Y) = a(X+Y) \) and real distinct numbers \( b_1, \ldots, b_k \) such that \( E(q(X)|X+Y) = b_i q(X+Y) \) for any \( q \) in \( Q_i \). We prove that this happens only when \( k = 2 \), when \( \mathbb{R}^n \) can be structured in a Euclidean Jordan algebra and when \( X \) and \( Y \) have Wishart distributions corresponding to this structure.

Résumé. (Pourquoi les algèbres de Jordan sont-elles naturelles en statistiques? La régression quadratique implique la distribution de Wishart)

Si l'espace \( Q \) des formes quadratiques sur \( \mathbb{R}^n \) est décomposé en une somme directe \( Q_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k \) et si \( X \) et \( Y \) sont des variables aléatoires indépendantes de \( \mathbb{R}^n \), supposons qu'il existe un nombre réel \( a \) tel que \( E(X|X+Y) = a(X+Y) \) ainsi que des nombres réels distincts \( b_1, \ldots, b_k \) tels que \( E(q(X)|X+Y) = b_i q(X+Y) \) pour tout \( q \) de \( Q_i \). Nous montrons que cela n'arrive que pour \( k = 2 \), que lorsque \( \mathbb{R}^n \) peut être structuré en algèbre de Jordan euclidienne et que lorsque \( X \) et \( Y \) suivent des lois de Wishart correspondant à cette structure.
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I. Introduction

Let $S_r$ be the set of $(r, r)$ real symmetric matrices and let $X$ and $Y$ be independent random variables valued in $S_r$ such that they are Wishart distributed $\gamma_{p, \sigma}$ and $\gamma_{p', \sigma}$, which means that

$$E(e^{-\operatorname{tr} \theta X}) = \det(I_r + \theta \sigma)^{-p}$$

where $\theta$ and $\sigma$ are in the set $P_r$ of the positive definite elements of $S_r$ and $p$ is in

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{r-1}{2} \right\} \cup \left( \frac{r-1}{2}, \infty \right)$$

(In (1.1) $\operatorname{tr}$ means trace). Note that for $a = p/(p + p')$

$$E(X|X + Y) = a(X + Y).$$

Assume furthermore that $p + p' > \frac{r-1}{2}$. This implies that $(X + Y)^{-1}$ exists. Then it is known that $Z = (X + Y)^{-1/2}X(X + Y)^{-1/2}$ and $X + Y$ are independent and that $Z \sim uZu^T$ for any orthogonal $(r, r)$ matrix $u$. There are many consequences, nuances and characterizations of the Wishart distributions related to this result. One of these consequences is the following fact: for any $s \in S_r$ consider the two quadratic forms on $S_r$ defined by

$$q_1^s(x) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}^2(xs) + \operatorname{tr}(xsxs), \quad q_2^s(x) = \operatorname{tr}^2(xs) - \operatorname{tr}(xsxs)$$

and the two numbers

$$b_1 = \frac{p}{p + p'}, \quad b_2 = \frac{p + 1}{p + p' + 1}, \quad b_2 = \frac{p}{p + p'} \cdot \frac{p - \frac{1}{2}}{p + p' - \frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then for $i = 1, 2$ and for any $s$

$$E(q_i^s(X)|X + Y) = b_i q_i^s(X + Y)$$

This is the particular case $d = 1$ of Corollary 2.3 of Letac and Massam (1998). An important fact about this set $(q_1^s, q_2^s)_{s \in S_r}$ is that it spans the whole space of quadratic forms $Q$ on $S_r$ (since if $q^s(x) = \operatorname{tr}^2(xs)$ then $\{q^s : s \in S_r\}$ spans $Q$). More specifically denote by $Q_i$ the subspace of $Q$ generated by $\{q_i^s : s \in S_r\}$. Then $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2$ (see for instance Theorem 5.2 below for a proof).

The aim of the paper is to prove a reciprocal statement of (1.3) and (1.5): Let $V$ be a linear real finite dimensional space (instead of $S_r$) and denote by $Q$ the space of all quadratic forms on $V$. Fix a decomposition $Q = Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k$ with $k \geq 2$ as a direct sum of linear subspaces. Consider two independent random variables $X$ and $Y$ with exponential moments satisfying (1.3) for some $a$ and $E(q(X)|X + Y) = b_i q(X + Y)$ for all $q \in Q_i$ and for some distinct real numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_k$. We show that under these circumstances, necessarily $k = 2$ and $X$ and $Y$ are Wishart distributed in the following sense: there
necessarily exists a structure of Euclidean Jordan algebra on \( V \) (like symmetric matrices, Hermitian matrices, or space with a Lorentz cone) such that \( X \) and \( Y \) are Wishart on the symmetric cone associated to it. Section 5 contains more detailed information about the two spaces \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) of quadratic forms on \( S_r \) (or more generally, on a Euclidean Jordan algebra).

II. Some history of the subject

Wishart distributions on \( S_r \). Wishart distributions have been introduced by J. Wishart (1928) as distributions of \( Z_1 Z_1^T + \cdots + Z_N Z_N^T \sim \gamma_{N/2,2\Sigma} \) where \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_N \) are iid in \( \mathbb{R}^r \) such that \( Z_i \sim N(0,\Sigma) \). Elegant calculations about them are in Bartlett (1933) and the classical reference is Muirhead (1982). For the space \( S_r \) of \((r,r)\) real symmetric matrices the extension of the definition of \( \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) from a half integer \( p \) to the whole set \( \Lambda \) defined by (1.2) is made in the fundamental paper of Olkin and Rubin (1962). Proving that a distribution \( \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) on the semi positive definite matrices such that (1.1) holds only if \( p \) is in \( \Lambda \) was considered as a challenge by statisticians (see Eaton (1983)) although the appendix of Olkin and Rubin contains an unnoticed proof of it (and unfortunately erroneous: see Casalis and Letac (1994)). This conjecture was independently proved by Shanbhag (1988) and Peddada and Richards (1989) by quite different means, although a solution already appeared in Gyndikin (1975) and seems to have been well known by analysts, who also call the set \( \Lambda \) and its extensions the Wallach set (see Lassalle (1987) for proofs and references).

Lukacs-Olkin-Rubin Theorem. — Wishart distributions on \( S_r \) are the most natural generalization of the gamma distributions on the positive line. Lukacs (1956) shows that if \( X \) and \( Y \) are positive, independent non Dirac random variables and if \( Z = X/(X + Y) \), then \( Z \) and \( X + Y \) are independent if and only if there exists \( \sigma, p, p' > 0 \) such that \( X \sim \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) and \( Y \sim \gamma_{p',\sigma} \). This was extended to \( S_r \) by Olkin and Rubin (1962) by a proper definition of \( Z \) such that \( Z \) is symmetric (for instance by choosing \( Z = (X + Y)^{-1/2} X (X + Y)^{-1/2} \) or by choosing \( Z = C^{-1} X (C^{-1})^T \) where \( C \) is the triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements coming from the Cholesky decomposition \( CC^T = X + Y \). They show that if \( X \) and \( Y \) are independent non Dirac random semi positive definite matrices in \( S_r \) such that \( X + Y \) is invertible and such that \( Z \sim u Z u^T \) for any orthogonal \((r,r)\) matrix \( u \) then \( Z \) and \( X + Y \) are independent if and only if there exists a positive definite matrix \( \sigma \) and \( p \) and \( p' \) in \( \Lambda \) with \( p + p' > (r-1)/2 \) such that \( X \sim \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) and \( Y \sim \gamma_{p',\sigma} \). If \( Z \) is defined as \( (X + Y)^{-1/2} X (X + Y)^{-1/2} \), Bobecka and Wesolowski (2002) have shown that the invariance hypothesis for \( Z \) by the orthogonal group can be dropped provided one assumes that \( X \) and \( Y \) have smooth densities. Removing this assumption of density is still a challenge.
Wishart distributions on Hermitian matrices and on Euclidean Jordan algebras. — Since normal distributions on Hermitian spaces have been considered (see e.g., Goodman (1963)), therefore Wishart distributions on Hermitian matrices occur naturally. Actually physicists considered them quite early (see Mehta (2004)). Carter (1975) in an unpublished PhD thesis extends Olkin and Rubin to this case.

On the other hand, works on the classification of natural exponential families by their variance function have led to the observation that the exponential family \( \{ \gamma_{p,\sigma} : \sigma \in P_r \} \) of Wishart distributions on \( S_r \) with fixed shape parameter \( p \in \Lambda \) has a variance function which is the map from \( S_r \) into itself \( x \mapsto V(m)(x) = \frac{1}{p} mxm \) where \( m \) is in \( P_r \). In other terms, this means that if \( \kappa \) is a cumulant function of \( \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) then for all \( x \) in \( S_r \) we have

\[
\kappa''(\theta)(x) = \frac{1}{p} \kappa'((\theta)x)\kappa'(\theta).
\]

Facts about multivariate distributions such that their corresponding variance functions are quadratic in the mean are collected in Letac (1989). In particular, Wishart distributions obtained from simple Euclidean Jordan algebras are described there. An indispensable reference for simple Euclidean Jordan algebras is Faraut and Koranyi (1994) always abbreviated F.-K. below. Recall that simple Euclidean Jordan algebras are basically in one to one correspondence with the irreducible symmetric cones (self dual cones in Euclidean space such that the group of automorphisms of the cone acts transitively on it), in the way that \( S_r \) is linked to \( P_r \). A quick definition of the Wishart distribution \( \gamma_{p,\sigma} \) on the Jordan algebra \( V \) with rank \( r \), Peirce constant \( d \), cone \( \Omega \) of square elements, trace and determinant function \( \text{tr} \) and \( \det \) can be done by its Laplace transform

\[
\int_{\Omega} e^{-\text{tr} \theta x} \gamma_{p,\sigma}(dx) = \det(e + \theta \sigma)^{-p}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is in the interior \( \Omega \) of \( \Omega \) and where \( p \) is in the Gyndikin set of the Jordan algebra \( V \) defined by

\[
\Lambda_V = \left\{ \frac{d}{2}, d, \ldots, \frac{d}{2}(r-1) \right\} \cup \left( \frac{d}{2}(r-1), \infty \right).
\]

While the definition of determinant is the standard one for \( S_r \) and for Hermitian matrices, it requires some care for the three other types of Jordan algebras: quaternionic Hermitian matrices, 27 dimensional Albert algebra and the algebra of the Lorentz cone.

Particular cases of use of Wishart distributions on Jordan algebras in statistics occurred earlier (Andersson (1975) for the Hermitian and quaternionic cases, and Jensen (1988) for the Lorentz cone, with its deep connexions to Clifford algebras). Jordan algebras are the natural framework for Wishart
distributions: Casalis and Letac (1996) is a clarification and an extension to Jordan algebras of Olkin and Rubin (1962) and of Carter (1975); Carter follows step by step the difficult Olkin and Rubin’s approach and his work was unknown to Casalis and Letac (1996).

**Quadratic homogeneity and Wishart distributions.** — A remarkable fact about the classical Wishart distributions on $S_r$ is that the above variance function $m \mapsto V(m)$ is not only quadratic in $m$ but homogeneous quadratic. This happens also to be true for Wishart distributions on any Euclidean Jordan algebra. This observation lead Casalis (1991) to prove the converse: any natural exponential family with a homogeneous quadratic variance function is a Wishart family, as conjectured in Letac (1989). Put in other words, if $\kappa$ is a cumulant function of some random variable $X$ valued in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\kappa''(\theta) = V(\kappa'(\theta))$ where $V$ is a homogeneous quadratic function, then $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be structured in a Jordan algebra such that $X$ is Wishart for that structure.

**Quadratic regression property.** — A slight extension of Lukacs (1956) is to take two non Dirac independent rv $X$ and $Y$ on the positive line such that there exist positive $a$ and $b$ such that $\mathbb{E}(X|X + Y) = a(X + Y)$ and $\mathbb{E}(X^2|X + Y) = b(X + Y)^2$ and to prove that there exist positive $p, p', \sigma$ such that $X \sim \gamma_{p,\sigma}$ and $Y \sim \gamma_{p',\sigma}$. To see this, just multiply these two equalities by $e^{\theta(X+Y)}$, take expectations and obtain two differential equations for the Laplace transforms of $X$ and $Y$. This procedure is contained in Laha and Lukacs (1960). Bivariate regression version of Lukacs theorem based on conditions $\mathbb{E}(X_i^2|X + Y) = b(X_i + Y)^2$, $i = 1, 2$, where $X = (X_1, X_2)$ and $Y = (Y_1, Y_2)$ are independent was obtained in Wang (1981). This result was generalized in Letac and Wesolowski (2008) by considering regressions of quadratic forms $\mathbb{E}(q(X)|X + Y) = bq(X + Y)$ for all quadratic forms $q$ orthogonal to an arbitrary fixed quadratic form $q_0$. That is in the setting of the present paper we required $k = 1$ and codimension of $Q_1$ to be equal 1.

Letac and Massam (1998) use the quadratic regression approach to get a simpler proof of Olkin and Rubin theorem, as extended to Jordan algebras in Casalis and Letac (1996). It actually characterizes the Wishart distributions of independent $X$ and $Y$ in $S_r$ (and more generally of a Jordan algebra) through the following properties: if for $i = 1, 2$, $s \in S_r$ and $q_i^s$ are defined by (1.4), then (1.5) holds (with suitable analogues of $q_i$ if the Jordan algebra is not $S_r$).

Note that this regression perspective leads to a characterization of $\gamma_{p,\sigma}, \gamma_{p',\sigma}$ without the hypothesis of invertibility of $X + Y$ which was needed in the Olkin and Rubin characterization.
III. Main result

Let $V$ be a real linear space with dimension $n > 1$, let $V^*$ be its dual and consider the space $\mathcal{F} = L_s(V, V^*)$ of the symmetric linear maps from $V$ to $V^*$. If $\theta \in V^*$ and $x \in V$ we write $(\theta, x)$ for $\theta(x)$. Denote by $Q$ the space of quadratic forms $q$ on $V$, namely the set of real functions $q$ on $V$ such that $(x, y) \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y))$ is bilinear on $V \times V$ and $q(\lambda x) = \lambda^2 q(x)$ when $\lambda$ is a real number. The map from $\mathcal{F}$ to $Q$ defined by $f \mapsto q_f$ where $x \mapsto q_f(x) = \langle f(x), x \rangle$ is one to one. More specifically:

$$\frac{1}{2}(q_f(x + y) - q_f(x) - q_f(y)) = \frac{1}{2}(\langle f(x), y \rangle + \langle f(y), x \rangle) = \langle f(x), y \rangle$$

For $q \in Q$ we therefore define the inverse map $q \mapsto f_q$ of $f \mapsto q_f$ by

$$\frac{1}{2}(q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)) = \langle f_q(x), y \rangle.$$

Let us also define here the concept of irreducibility for a probability measure $\mu$ on $V$. We say first that $\mu$ is reducible if there exists a direct sum $V_1 \oplus V_2 = V$ with $\dim V_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, two probability measures $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ on $V_1$ and $V_2$ such that $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$. In other terms, if $X \sim \mu$ its projections $X_1$ on $V_1$ parallel to $V_2$ and $X_2$ on $V_2$ parallel to $V_1$ are independent. Suppose that furthermore $X$ has a Laplace transform $L = e^{\kappa}$ defined on some open set $\Theta \subset V^* = V_1^* \oplus V_2^*$. In this case $\kappa(\theta) = \kappa_1(\theta_1) + \kappa_2(\theta_2)$ where $\theta_i$ is the projection of $\theta$ on $V_i^*$ and $\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_2$ are the cumulant functions of $X_i$ and $X_2$. We say also that $X$ and $\kappa$ are reducible in that case. Finally, $\mu$, $X$ and $\kappa$ are said to be irreducible if they are not reducible...

**Theorem III.1.** — Let $Q_1 \oplus Q_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Q_k = Q$ be a direct sum decomposition of the space of quadratic forms on $V$ with $k \geq 2$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two independent irreducible random variables valued in $V$ such that their Laplace transforms exist on an open set $\Theta \subset V^*$. We assume that

1. there exists a real number $a$ such that $\mathbb{E}(X | X + Y) = a(X + Y)$;
2. there exist distinct numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ such that for any $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and for any $q \in Q_i$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}(q(X) | X + Y) = b_i q(X + Y).$$

Under these circumstances $0 < a < 1$, $k = 2$ and there exists a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra structure on $V$ such that $X$ and $Y$ are Wishart distributed on the positive cone of the algebra with the same scale parameter and respective shape parameters $p$ and $p'$ in $\Lambda_V$ defined in (2.6). Moreover $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are spanned by

$$q_1^*(x) = \frac{d}{2} \text{tr}^2(x) + \text{tr}(\mathbb{P}(x)(s)s), \quad q_2^*(x) = \text{tr}^2(x) - \text{tr}(\mathbb{P}(x)(s)s)$$
where \( tr, \mathcal{P} \) and \( d \) are respectively the trace, the quadratic map and the Peirce constant of the Jordan algebra and \( s \in V \). In this case

\[
(3.9) \quad a = \frac{p}{p + p'}, \quad b_1 = \frac{p}{p + p'} - \frac{p + 1}{p + p' + 1}, \quad b_2 = \frac{p}{p + p'} - \frac{d}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** — Denote by \( L_X \) and \( L_Y \) the Laplace transforms of \( X \) and \( Y \). It is standard to prove that from condition 1) we have \( L_X^{1-a} = L_Y^a \): just multiply both sides of \( \mathbb{E}(X | X + Y) = a(X + Y) \) by \( e^{(a,X+Y)} \) where \( \theta \in \Theta \) and take expectations of both sides to obtain the differential equation \( (1-a) L_X' / L_X = a L_Y' / L_Y \). The fact that \( X \) and \( Y \) are irreducible implies that \( a = 0 \) or \( a = 1 \) is impossible. The fact that \( \log L_X \) and \( \log L_Y \) are convex implies that \( a < 0 \) or \( a > 1 \) are impossible. From now on we denote \( e^\kappa = L_X = L_Y^{(1-a)} \).

In the sequel, we use the symbol \( Tr \) for the trace of an endomorphism. The symbol \( tr \) is reserved for the trace in a Jordan algebra. If \( q \) is a quadratic form on \( V \) we write

\[
q\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)(\kappa)(\theta) = Tr (f_q \kappa'(\theta)).
\]

Since \( \kappa \) is a real twice differentiable function defined on an open subset of \( V^* \), the second derivative \( \kappa''(\theta) \) is an element of \( L_\kappa (V^*, V^*) \), the linear map \( f_q \) is an element of \( L_\kappa (V, V^*) \) and thus \( f_q \kappa''(\theta) \) belongs to \( L(V^*, V^*) \). It therefore makes sense to speak of the trace of this endomorphism of \( V^* \). Note that \( \langle f_q(x), x \rangle = Tr (f_q(x \otimes x)) \) and that \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \) \( \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \kappa = \kappa'' \). This explains the definition \( q\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)(\kappa) = Tr (f_q \kappa''). \) Also \( q(\kappa') \) can be written in terms of \( f_q \) as \( q(\kappa') = Tr (f_q(\kappa' \otimes \kappa')) = (f_q(\kappa', \kappa')). \)

Calculations done in Letac and Wesolowski (2008) (2.9), show that for any \( i = 1, \ldots, k \) and for all \( q \in Q_i \) we have

\[
(3.10) \quad (1 - \frac{b_i}{a}) q_0 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)(\kappa) = \frac{b_i}{a^2} - 1) q(\kappa').
\]

(Again, to prove (3.10) just multiply (3.7) by \( e^{(\theta,X+Y)} \) and take expectations). Observe that \( b_i/a \) is impossible, since it implies that \( q(\kappa') = 0 \) for any \( q \) in \( Q_i \). Since \( Q_i \) is not the zero space, there exists a non zero \( q \) with \( q(\kappa') = 0 \). Now \( \{x \in V; q(x) = 0\} \) is a quadric of \( V \) and has an empty interior. On the other hand, since \( X \) is irreducible, this implies that \( X \) cannot be concentrated on some affine subspace of \( V \). Therefore \( \kappa \) is strictly convex and the set \( \kappa' (\Theta) \) is open and cannot be contained in a quadric. Thus \( a = b_i \) is impossible, division by \( (1 - \frac{b_i}{a}) \) is permitted and we rewrite (3.10) as

\[
(3.11) \quad q\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)(\kappa) = p_i q(\kappa')
\]

where \( p_i = \frac{b_i-a^2}{a^2-an} \).
Now let us fix $\theta \in V^*$ and consider the element $\theta \otimes \theta$ of $\mathcal{T}$ defined by $(\theta \otimes \theta)(x) = (\theta, x)\theta$. Denote by $\mathcal{F}_i$ the image of $\mathcal{Q}_i$ by the isomorphism $q \mapsto f_q$. Obviously we have

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{F}_k = \mathcal{T}.$$ 

Therefore there exist elements $f_i \in \mathcal{F}_i$ such that $f_1 + \cdots + f_k = \theta \otimes \theta$. Since $f_1, \ldots, f_k$ depend actually on $\theta$ we rather write $f_i(\theta, x)$ instead of $f_i(x)$ for $x \in V$. Thus $x \mapsto f_i(\theta, x)$ is a linear map from $V$ to $V^*$. We rewrite the equality $\theta \otimes \theta = f_1 + \cdots + f_k$ as

$$\langle \theta, x \rangle^2 = \langle f_1(\theta, x), x \rangle + \cdots + \langle f_k(\theta, x), x \rangle$$

for any $x \in V$. We now fix $\theta = \theta_0$ in this equality and we recall that $q(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta})(\kappa)(\theta)$ means $\text{Tr}(f_q \kappa''(\theta))$. Thus we get

$$\text{Tr}(\theta_0 \otimes \theta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr}[f_i(\theta_0, \cdot) \kappa''(\theta)].$$

We now use the fact that $x \mapsto \langle f_i(\theta_0, x), x \rangle = q(x)$ is a quadratic form belonging to $\mathcal{Q}_i$ to which we apply (3.11). Therefore we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(\theta_0 \otimes \theta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{Tr}[f_i(\theta_0, \cdot) \kappa''(\theta) \otimes \kappa'(\theta)].$$

Since this is true for any $\theta_0$ in $V^*$ this is enough to claim that $\kappa''$ is a quadratic homogeneous function of $\kappa'$.

We now apply the Casalis' theorem (1991) which says that if $\kappa$ is irreducible and if $\kappa''$ is a quadratic homogeneous function of $\kappa'$, then there exists a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra structure on $V$ related to $X$ in a way that we explain now. Let $\Omega$ be the open cone of the squares of $V$, let $\text{tr}$ and $\text{det}$ be the trace and determinant functions on the Jordan algebra, let $d$ and $r$ be the Peirce and rank constants of $V$. Then there exists $p \in \Lambda_V$ defined by (2.6) and $\sigma \in \Omega$ such $X$ has the Wishart distribution $\gamma_{p, \sigma}$ on $\Omega$ defined by its Laplace transform $\mathbb{E}(e^{\text{tr}X}) = \text{det}(I + \theta \sigma)^{-p}$ for all $\theta \in \Omega$.

To complete the proof, denote for a while by $\tilde{Q}_1$ and $\tilde{Q}_2$ the spaces of quadratic forms spanned by $(q_1^*)_{x \in V}$ and $(q_2^*)_{x \in V}$ as defined in (3.8). Denote also

$$\tilde{b}_1 = \frac{p}{p + p'} \frac{p + 1}{p + p' + 1}, \quad \tilde{b}_2 = \frac{p}{p + p'} \frac{p - q}{p + p' - q}.$$ 

Recall that we want to prove that $k = 2$ and that $\{\tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2\} = \{Q_1, Q_2\}$. Let now $q \in Q_i$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}(q(X)|X + Y) = b_i q(X + Y).$ We now write $q = q_1 + q_2$ with $q_i \in \tilde{Q}_i$ which is possible since $\tilde{Q}_1 \oplus \tilde{Q}_2 = \tilde{Q}$. Recall that since $X$ and $Y$
have distributions $\gamma_{p,\sigma}$ and $\gamma_{p,\sigma}'$ we can write $E(q_i(X)|X+Y) = \tilde{b}_i q_i(X+Y)$. Thus

$$(\tilde{b}_1 - b_1)q_1(X+Y) = (b_1 - \tilde{b}_2)q_2(X+Y).$$

Since $X + Y$ is valued in the open set $\Omega$ this implies $(\tilde{b}_1 - b_1)q_1 = (b_1 - \tilde{b}_2)q_2$. Thus the two sides of this equality are zero: either $b_i = \tilde{b}_1$ and $q_2 = 0$ or the reverse statement holds. Since we have assumed that $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ are distinct, this ends the proof.

IV. Comments

1. Surprisingly enough, while starting from a linear space $V$ without any additional algebraic structure, the regression conditions on $X$ and $Y$ of the theorem impose by themselves a Euclidean Jordan algebra structure on $V$.

2. The three numbers $a, b_1$ and $b_2$ together with the dimension of $V$ determine uniquely the structure of Jordan algebra on $V$ in the following sense: we can see from the equations (3.9) that $b_2 < a^2 < b_1 < a$. Moreover these equations give the Peirce constant $d$ of $V$ by

$$d = 2 \frac{a - b_1}{b_1 - a^2} \frac{a^2 - b_2}{a - b_2}.$$

Since the rank $r$ satisfies $\dim V = r + \frac{d}{2}r(r - 1)$ the type of the Jordan algebra is completely known.

3. In the theorem, $k = 1$ would lead to $X$ and $Y$ concentrated on a line $Rv$ of $V$. If $X = X_1v$ and $Y = Y_1v$ then $X_1$ and $Y_1$ would be one dimensional gamma distributed and $X$ would not be irreducible since we have assumed $\dim V > 1$. Furthermore if in the theorem we do not assume that $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ are distinct, then either they are all equal to one $b$ and this sends us back to the trivial case $k = 1$ or they are not and if $k' \geq 2$ is the number of distinct $b_i$’s, then the theorem gives $k' = 2$.

4. Some comments about irreducibility are in order. If $L_Y$ is a power of $L_X$, then $Y$ is irreducible if and only if $X$ is. Therefore irreducibility can be assumed in the theorem for $X$ only. If irreducibility is not assumed, we have an artificial generality. For instance suppose that $(X_1, X_2, X_3, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3)$ are independent real rv with $X_i \sim \gamma_{\alpha_i,\sigma}$ and $Y_i \sim \gamma_{\beta_i,\sigma}$. Then for $i \neq j$ we have

$$E(X_i X_j|X+Y) = \frac{\alpha_i \alpha_j}{(\alpha_i + \beta_j)(\alpha_j + \beta_j)}(X_i + Y_j)(X_j + Y_j),$$

$$E(X_i^2|X+Y) = \frac{\alpha_i (\alpha_i + 1)}{(\alpha_i + \beta_i)(\alpha_i + \beta_i + 1)}(X_i + Y_i)^2.$$
This implies that \( k = 6 \) corresponding to six independent quadratic forms on \( V = \mathbb{R}^3 \) defined by \( q_{ij}(x) = x_i x_j \) for \( i \leq j \).

V. The spaces \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \): the operator \( \Psi \)

If \( V \) is a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra with rank \( r \) and Peirce constant \( d = 2d' \), denote by \( \mathcal{I} = L_s(V) \) the space of symmetric linear operators on \( V \). The dimension of \( V \) is \( n = r + dr(r - 1)/2 \). Given \( y \in V \), important examples of elements of \( \mathcal{I} \) are respectively \( L(y) \) defined by \( x \mapsto yx \) where \( yx \) is the Jordan product, and

\[
\mathcal{P}(y) = 2(L(y))^2 - L(y^2)
\]
as defined in F.-K. page 32. If \( a \) and \( b \) are in \( V \) we denote by \( a \otimes b \) the endomorphism \( x \mapsto a \text{ tr}(bx) \) of \( V \). The endomorphism \( a \otimes b + b \otimes a \) belongs to \( \mathcal{I} \). Denote by \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \) the linear subspaces of \( \mathcal{I} \) respectively generated by and \( \{d'y \otimes y + \mathcal{P}(y); y \in V\} \) and \( \{y \otimes y - \mathcal{P}(y); y \in V\} \). From (3.8) \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \) are canonically isomorphic to \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) by \( q \mapsto f_q \) where \( q(x) = \langle f_q(x), x \rangle \).

We endow \( \mathcal{I} \) with the Euclidean structure defined by \( \text{Tr}(ab) \). Here again we distinguish the trace \( \text{tr} \) of the Jordan algebra \( V \) from the trace \( \text{Tr} \) of the endomorphisms on the linear space \( V \). Here is a list of various traces:

**Proposition V.1.**

1. \( \text{Tr}(a \otimes b) = \text{tr}(ab) = \text{tr}[(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d)] = \text{tr}(ad) \text{tr}(bc) \),
   \[
   \text{Tr}(a_1 \otimes b_1) \cdots (a_k \otimes b_k)) = \text{tr}(a_1 b_k) \text{tr}(a_2 b_1) \cdots \text{tr}(a_k b_{k-1}).
   \]
2. \( \text{tr}[L(a)L(b)(c \otimes d)] = \text{tr}[(a(bc))d] \)
3. \( \text{tr}(\mathcal{P}(a)(b \otimes c)) = \text{tr}[(\mathcal{P}(a)b)c] \)

**Proof.** — (1) is standard since it only involves the Euclidean structure of \( V \) and not its Jordan algebra structure. (2) is a consequence of (1). Applying the definition of \( \mathcal{P}(a) \), (3) is a consequence of (2).

In the theorem below, we consider an endomorphism \( \Psi \) of \( \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \Psi(y \otimes y) = \mathcal{P}(y) \) for all \( y \in V \). It is an essential tool of the two papers Casalis and Letac (1996) and Letac and Massam (1998). The theorem shows that \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \) are its two eigenspaces and uses this fact to give the dimensions of the spaces of quadratic forms \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_2 \) defined in Th. 3.1 above.

**Theorem V.2.**

1. There exists a symmetric endomorphism \( \Psi \) of \( \mathcal{I} \) such that \( \Psi(y \otimes y) = \mathcal{P}(y) \) for all \( y \in V \). It satisfies
   \[
   \Psi(\mathcal{P}(y)) = d'y \otimes y + (1 - d')\mathcal{P}(y)
   \]
2. The spaces \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \) are orthogonal and \( \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_1 \oplus \mathcal{I}_2 \)
3. The spaces \( \mathcal{I}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{I}_2 \) are the two eigenspaces of \( \Psi \) corresponding to the two eigenvalues \( 1 \) and \( -d' \) respectively.
4. The dimensions of $\mathcal{J}_1$ and $\mathcal{J}_2$ are given by

$$\dim \mathcal{J}_1 = \dim \mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} - \frac{r(r-1)}{2} \times \frac{1 + d'(2r - 3) + d'^2(r - 1)(r - 2)}{1 + d'}$$

Examples. — For the Jordan algebra associated to the Lorentz cone where $r = 2$ we get $\dim \mathcal{J}_2 = 1$. More specifically, if $E$ is a Euclidean space with scalar product $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$ consider the Jordan algebra $V = \mathbb{R} \times E$ endowed with the Jordan product between $x = (x_0, \bar{x})$ and $y = (y_0, \bar{y})$ defined by

$$xy = (x_0 y_0 + \bar{x} \bar{y}, x_0 \bar{y} + y_0 \bar{x}).$$

Here the Lorentz cone is $\{(x_0, \bar{x}) \in V ; x_0 > \Vert \bar{x} \Vert \}$, the trace is $\text{tr} (x_0, \bar{x}) = 2x_0$ and the Peirce constant is $d = \dim E - 1$. In this case $\mathcal{J}_2$ is spanned by the symmetry $S$ defined by $(x_0, \bar{x}) \mapsto (x_0, -\bar{x})$. To see this observe that if $e = (1, 0)$ then $S = e \otimes e - \mathbb{P}(e)$ is in $\mathcal{J}_2$ and use $\dim \mathcal{J}_2 = 1$. As a consequence if

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{bmatrix}$$

represents a symmetric endomorphism of $V$ (where $a$ is real, $c$ is a symmetric endomorphism of $E$ and $b$ is a linear form on $E$) then $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b^* & c \end{bmatrix}$ is in $\mathcal{J}_1$ if and only if it is orthogonal to

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\text{id}_E \end{bmatrix},$$

that is if and only if $a = \text{Tr} \ c$. The dimension of $\mathcal{J}_1$ is $\frac{1}{2}(n - 1)(n + 2)$.

For the Jordan algebra $S_r$, of symmetric real matrices where $d = 1$, we get $\dim \mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{r^2}{12}(r - 1)(r + 1)$ and $\dim \mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{r^2}{2}(r + 1)(r^2 + 5r + 6)$. For the Jordan algebra of Hermitian matrices where $d = 2$, we get

$$\dim \mathcal{J}_1 = \left(\frac{r(r+1)}{2}\right)^2, \quad \dim \mathcal{J}_2 = \left(\frac{r(r-1)}{2}\right)^2,$$

and since $d' = 1$, $\Psi$ is an orthogonal symmetry with respect to $\mathcal{J}_2$. For the Jordan algebra of Hermitian quaternionic matrices where $d = 4$, we get $\dim \mathcal{J}_2 = \frac{4r^2(r-1)(r-2)}{6} + \frac{r(r-1)}{2}$ and $\dim \mathcal{J}_1 = \frac{r^2}{4}(4r^2 - 1)$. For the Albert algebra where $d = 8$ and $r = 3$ we get $\dim \mathcal{J}_2 = 27$, $\dim \mathcal{J}_1 = 351 = 27 \times 13$.

Proof. — (1) The existence of $\Psi$ is proved in Casalis and Letac (1996) (Lemma 6.3) and (5.13) is proved in Letac and Massam (1998) (Proposition 3.1). For proving that $\Psi$ is symmetric, enough is to see that $\text{Tr} [\Psi (x \otimes x)(y \otimes y)]$ is symmetric in $x$ and $y$ in $V$ since $\{y \otimes y ; y \in V\}$ spans $\mathcal{J}$. Equivalently we have to see that $\text{Tr} [\mathbb{P}(x)(y \otimes y)]$ is symmetric. From Proposition 3.1 part 3, we have to show that $\text{tr} [(\mathbb{P}(x)y)y]$ is symmetric. Applying the definition of $\mathbb{P}$, we get

$$\text{tr} [(\mathbb{P}(x)y)y] = \text{tr} [(2(xxy) - x^2y)y].$$
Let us now use Proposition II.1.1, (iii) in F.-K. which says
\[ L(x^2y) - L(x^2)L(y) = 2L(xy)L(x) - 2L(x)L(y)L(x). \]
Applying this equality to \( y \) we get \( (x^2y)y - x^2y^2 = 2(xy)^2 - 2x(y(xy)) \) that we rewrite as \( 2(xy)^2 + x^2y^2 = 2x(y(xy)) + (x^2y)y \). Since the left hand side is symmetric in \( x,y \) this proves \( 2x(y(xy)) + (x^2y)y = 2y(x(xy)) + (y^2)x \) which implies in turn that \( (2(x(xy) - x^2y)y \) is symmetric in \( x,y \) and shows that \( \Psi \) is symmetric.

(2) and (3) Since \( \{ y \otimes y : y \in V \} \) spans \( \mathcal{F} \) and since
\[ y \otimes y = \frac{1}{1 + d'} (d'y \otimes y + \mathcal{F}(y)) + \frac{1}{1 + d'} (y \otimes y - \mathcal{F}(y)), \]
clearly \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}_2 \). From the formula (5.13) and the definition of \( \Psi \) we get easily that \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) are made of eigenvectors of \( \Psi \) respectively for the eigenvalues \( 1 \) and \( -d' \). In particular \( \mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2 = \{0\} \). Therefore \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \oplus \mathcal{F}_2 \) and thus the endomorphism \( \Psi \) has no other eigenvalues. From the fact that \( \Psi \) is symmetric, \( \mathcal{F}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{F}_2 \) are orthogonal.

(4) It is the difficult point. We have \( \dim \mathcal{F}_1 + \dim \mathcal{F}_2 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \) where \( n \) is the dimension of \( V \). An other linear equation for \( (\dim \mathcal{F}_1, \dim \mathcal{F}_2) \) is \( \text{trace}(\Psi) = \dim \mathcal{F}_1 - d' \dim \mathcal{F}_2 \) leading to
\[ \dim \mathcal{F}_2 = \frac{1}{1 + d'} \left( \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} \right) \text{trace}(\Psi). \]
We embark for a calculation of \( \text{trace}(\Psi) \) by selecting an orthonormal basis \( f = (f_\ell)_{\ell=1}^{n(n+1)/2} \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) and by computing \( \text{Tr} [\Psi(f_\ell)f_\ell] \) in order to get
\[ \text{trace}(\Psi) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n(n+1)/2} \text{Tr} [\Psi(f_\ell)f_\ell]. \]
The basis \( f \) is chosen as follows. We start from a Jordan frame \((c_1,\ldots,c_r)\) of \( V \) (see F.-K. page 44). Recall that \( c_i^2 = c_i \) and \( c_sc_t = 0 \) for \( s \neq t \). We denote by \( V(c,\lambda) \) the eigenspace of \( V(\lambda) \) for the eigenvalue \( \lambda \). For \( 1 \leq s < t \leq r \) we denote
\[ V_{st} = V(c_s,\frac{1}{2}) \cap V(c_t,\frac{1}{2}), \quad V_{ss} = V(c_s,1). \]
Recall that \( V = \bigoplus_{1 \leq s \leq t \leq r} V_{st} \), that the dimension of \( V_{st} \) is \( d \) for \( s < t \) and \( 1 \) for \( s = t \) and that these spaces are orthogonal (F.-K. Th. IV 2.1, (i)). Let \((c^2_{s,t},c_{s,t},c^0_{s,t})\) be an orthonormal basis of the space \( V_{s,t} \) for \( s < t \). The space \( V_{ss} \) is spanned by \( c_s \). For simplicity denote also by \( e = (e_1,\ldots,e_n) \) the orthonormal basis of \( V \) defined by the \( c_s^2 \)'s and the \( c^0_{s,t} \)'s. Finally the basis \( f \) of \( \mathcal{F} \) consists of the elements of the form \( f_\ell = e_i \otimes e_j \) for \( i = 1,\ldots,n \), or \( f_\ell = (e_i \otimes e_j + e_j \otimes e_i)/\sqrt{2} \) for \( 1 \leq i < j \leq n \). Since \( e \) is an orthonormal basis of the Euclidean space \( V \) it
is standard to see that \( f \) is an orthonormal basis of the space \( \mathcal{J} \) of symmetric endomorphisms of \( V \).

We now compute \( \text{Tr} [\Psi(f_{\ell})f_{\ell}] = C_{\ell} \) for all possible choices of \( f_{\ell} \) in the basis \( f \).

1. **Case A:** \( f_{\ell} = e_{i} \otimes e_{i}, \) From Proposition 5.1, part 5 we have for all \( x \in V \):

\[
(5.15) \quad \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{F}(x \otimes x) \right) = \text{tr} x^{4}
\]

Case A1: \( e_{i} = c_{s} \). Thus inserting \( x = c_{s} \) in (5.15) we get \( C_{\ell} = \text{tr} c_{s} = 1. \)

Case A2: \( e_{i} = c_{k}^{V} \). We use the fact that \( x^{2} = \frac{||x||^{2}}{2} (c_{s} + c_{t}) \) when \( x \in V_{st} \) (see F.-K. Proposition IV. 1.4 (i)) and apply (5.15) to \( x = c_{k}^{V} \).

We get
\[
C_{\ell} = \text{tr} (c_{k}^{V})^{4} = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} [(c_{t} + c_{s})^{2}] = \frac{1}{2}.
\]

2. **Case B:** \( f_{\ell} = (e_{i} \otimes e_{j} + e_{j} \otimes e_{i})/\sqrt{2} \). We use the following calculation:

\[
\Psi(x \otimes y + y \otimes x) = \mathcal{F}(x + y) - \mathcal{F}(x) - \mathcal{F}(y) = 2[\mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y) + \mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(x) - \mathcal{L}(xy)]
\]

(F.-K. page 32) and, using Proposition 5.1 part 2:
\[
\text{Tr} [(\mathcal{L}(x)\mathcal{L}(y) + \mathcal{L}(y)\mathcal{L}(x) - \mathcal{L}(xy)) (x \otimes y + y \otimes x)] = \text{tr} [(y^{2})y + (xy^{2})x].
\]

Thus
\[
(5.16) \quad C_{\ell} = \text{tr} [(e_{j}c_{j}^{2})e_{j} + (e_{j}c_{j}^{2})e_{i}].
\]

Case B1: \( e_{i} = c_{s}, \) \( e_{j} = c_{t} \) with \( s < t \). From (5.16):
\[
C_{\ell} = \text{tr} [(c_{s}c_{t}^{2})c_{t} + (c_{s}c_{t}^{2})c_{s}] = 0.
\]

Case B2: \( e_{i} = c_{s}, \) \( e_{j} = c_{k}^{V} \) with \( 1 \leq u < v \leq r \) with \( s \in \{ u, v \} \). By the definition of \( V_{uv} \) we have \( c_{uv}^{k}c_{s} = \frac{1}{2} c_{uv}^{k} \) and thus from (5.16):
\[
C_{\ell} = \text{tr} [(c_{u}^{k}c_{u}^{2})c_{u}^{k} + (c_{s}(c_{u}^{k})^{2})c_{s}] = \text{tr} [(c_{u}^{k}c_{s})c_{u}^{k} + (c_{s} \frac{1}{2}(c_{u} + c_{v}))c_{s}]
\]
\[
= \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{4}(c_{u} + c_{v}) + (c_{s} \frac{1}{2}(c_{u} + c_{v}))c_{s} \right] = 1.
\]

Case B3: \( e_{i} = c_{s}, \) \( e_{j} = c_{k}^{V} \) with \( 1 \leq u < v \leq r \) with \( s \not\in \{ u, v \} \). Here we have \( c_{uv}^{k}c_{s} = 0 \) from F.-K. page 68 last line. A calculation similar to B2 gives \( C_{\ell} = 0 \).

Case B4: \( e_{i} = c_{k}^{V}, \) \( e_{j} = c_{k}^{V} \) with \( 1 \leq u < v \leq r \) and \( 1 \leq k < m \leq d. \)

Note that if \( x \) and \( y \) have norm 1 in \( V_{uv} \) then
\[
(y^{2})y + (xy^{2})x = (\frac{1}{2}(c_{u} + c_{v}))y + (x \frac{1}{2}(c_{u} + c_{v}))x = \frac{1}{2}(y^{2} + x^{2}) = \frac{1}{2}(c_{u} + c_{v})
\]

Applying this to \( x = c_{uv}^{k} \) and \( y = c_{uv}^{m} \) we get \( C_{\ell} = 1 \) through (5.16).
Case B5: \( e_i = c_{st}^i, \ e_j = c_{uv}^m \) with \( 1 \leq s < t \leq r, \) with \( 1 \leq u < v \leq r, \) with \( 1 \leq k, m \leq d \) and with \( \{s,t\} \cap \{u,v\} \) reduced to one point, say \( u = s. \)

Note that if \( x \) and \( y \) have norm 1 in \( V_{st} \) and \( y \in V_{uv} \) then

\[
(yx^2) + (xy^2) = (y \frac{1}{2}(c_s + c_t))y + (x \frac{1}{2}(c_s + c_t))x = \frac{1}{4}(y^2 + x^2) = \frac{1}{8}(2c_s + c_t + c_v)
\]

Applying this to \( x = c_{st} \) and \( y = c_{uv}^m \) we get \( C_t = 1/2 \) through (5.16).

Case B6: \( e_i = c_{st}^i, \ e_j = c_{uv}^m \) with \( 1 \leq s < t \leq r \) and with \( 1 \leq u < v \leq r \) with \( \{s,t\} \cap \{u,v\} = \emptyset. \) Using F.-K. page 68 last line we see that

\[
(yx^2) + (xy^2) = 0 \quad \text{when} \quad x \in V_{st} \quad \text{and} \quad y \in V_{uv}.
\]

Therefore \( C_t = 0. \)

We are now in position to compute the trace of \( \Psi. \) We adopt the obvious notation \( C(A_1) = \sum_{\ell \in A_1} C_\ell. \) Thus

\[
\text{trace}(\Psi) = C(A_1) + C(A_2) + C(B_2) + C(B_4) + C(B_5).
\]

Since \( C_\ell \) is constant on each of these five sets \( A_1, A_2, B_2, B_4, B_5 \) we first count the number of their elements:

\[
N(A_1) = r, \quad N(A_2) = r(r - 1)d', \quad N(B_2) = 2r(r - 1)d',
\]

\[
N(B_4) = r(r - 1)d'(d' - \frac{1}{2}), \quad N(B_5) = 2r(r - 1)(r - 2)d^2.
\]

We get finally

\[
\text{trace}(\Psi) = r + r(r - 1)d' [2 + (r - 1)d']
\]

which leads to the result through (5.14). \( \square \)

**Comments.** — Observe that \( \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi(f)f \right] = \text{Tr} f^2 \) if and only if \( f \) is in \( \mathcal{J}_1 \) (write \( f = f_1 + f_2 \) with \( f_i \in \mathcal{J}_i \) and \( \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi(f)f \right] - \text{Tr} f^2 = -(d' + 1)\text{Tr} f_2^2 \) to see this). Thus in the above orthonormal basis \( \{f_\ell\}_1^{n(n+1)/2} \) of \( \mathcal{J} \) we have \( f_\ell \in \mathcal{J}_1 \) if and only if \( C_\ell = 1, \) which happens only in the cases \( A_1, B_2 \) and \( B_4. \) This is a set of size \( N(A_1) + N(B_2) + N(B_4) < \dim \mathcal{J}_1. \) Similarly \( \text{Tr} \left[ \Psi(f)f \right] = -d'\text{Tr} f^2 \) if and only if \( f \) is in \( \mathcal{J}_2, \) and this shows that no \( f_\ell \) is in \( \mathcal{J}_2 \) since \( C_\ell \geq 0 \) for all \( \ell. \)
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